





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,779	01/02/2001	Wei-ping Li	12013/55202	7468
26646	7590 08/30/2002			
KENYON & KENYON			EXAMINER	
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			NGUYEN, DAVE TRONG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632 DATE MAILED: 08/30/2002	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<b>À</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1		
		09/750,779	LI ET AL.	i		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dave Nguyen	1632			
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	correspondence address	İ		
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) darill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.		
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	•			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the state of t			is		
Dispositi	on of Claims			1		
4) 🖾	Claim(s) $\underline{1-46}$ is/are pending in the application			1		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			ı		
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) 1-46 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers			į		
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	aminer.	j		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			1		
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction filed on	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
,—	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			į		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).	j		
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			¦ !		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	ı			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	tion No			
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·		tion).		
a	) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been re	ceived.	•		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	u and/or 121.			
Attachmen	. ,	A) Interview Summe	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		Patent Application (PTO-152)	•		

Serial Number: 09/750,779 Page 2

Art Unit: 1632

## Election/Restriction

Group Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 as follows:

Claims 6-9, 15-18, 24-27, 33-36, 43-44, are generic or linked to a plurality of **disclosed patentably distinct inventions** drawing to a medical device comprising:

A specifically named therapeutic agent as listed in the claims.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed invention as set forth above even though this requirement is traversed.

Should the invention drawn to a medical device comprising a DNA encoding "such agents" be elected, the elected invention is also generic or linked to plurality of **disclosed patentably distinct**inventions drawing to a medical device comprising a DNA encoding a particularly named agent as listed in the linking claims.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed invention as set forth above even though this requirement is traversed, e.g., a specifically named DNA that encodes a product that must possess a specifically named therapeutic activity as listed in the linking clams.

Claims 6, 15, 24, 33, 43 link all of the inventions drawn to each of specifically named and listed therapeutic agent. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s),. Upon the allowance of the linking claims, the restriction requirement as to the liked invention shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such (claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable lining claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims or the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Serial Number: 09/750,779 Page 3

Art Unit: 1632

The inventions of "therapeutic agent" containing medical devices are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

:As set forth in MPEP 803.02unity of invention for exists if all species recited in a claim (1) shows a common utility, and (2) share a substantial structural feature disclosed as being essential to that utility. The listed therapeutic agent do not have unity of invention because not only a specifically common utility is not shared by the therapeutic agents, each of the therapeutic agents do not share any substantial structural feature disclosed as being essential to that utility of the specifically intended or named therapeutic agent. Furthermore, issues regarding patentability of gene therapy methods of employing any DNA encoding a cholesterol lowering agent are not necessarily the same as gene therapy methods of employing any DNA encoding an angiogenic protein, when used in the context of the claimed invention. Likewise, the polynucleotide encoding "such agents" do not have the unity of the invention because of the same reasons set forth above.

Species Restriction is also required under 35 U.S.C. 121 as follows:

Claims 4, 13, 22, 31, 41, are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

A specifically named cationic polyelectrolyte as listed in the claims.

Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species as listed above even though this requirement is traversed.

Claims 5, 14, 23, 32, 42, are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

A specifically named medical device as listed in the claims.

Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species as listed above

Serial Number: 09/750,779

Art Unit: 1632

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications regarding the formalities should be directed to Patent Analyst Dianiece Jacobs, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3388. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to examiner Dave Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-2024.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Deborah Reynolds, may be reached at (703) 305-4051. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center number is (703) 305-7401.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Dave Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1632

> DAVET, NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Serial Number: 09/750,779

Art Unit: 1632

even though this requirement is traversed.

Claims 6-9, 15-18, 24-27, 33-36, 43-44, are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

Page 4

A specifically named therapeutic agent as listed in the claims.

Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species as listed above even though this requirement is traversed.

Claims 37 and 46 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising: A specifically named tissue as listed in the claims.

Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species as listed above even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species as indicated above are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because of the patentably distinct inventions and/or species as listed above, it would be unduly burdensome for the examiner to search and examine all of the subject matter being sought in the presently pending claims, and thus, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently



Creation date: 05-05-2004

Indexing Officer: TANDERSON2 - TRUDIE ANDERSON

Team: OIPEBackFileIndexing

Dossier: 09750779

Legal Date: 09-25-2002

No.	Doccode	Number of pages
1	A	3

Total number of pages: 3

Remarks:

Order of re-scan issued on .....